DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL



Issues relating to 216-217 MHz, in contrast, historically have been dominated by concerns about interference to adjacent TV channel 13.

- 3. A review of the other first-round comments in this proceeding confirms the soundness of Phonic Ear's proposal. No other commenter so much as mentioned the 216-217 MHz band. Plainly this is not a significant issue in the present proceeding, and its absence will not impair consideration of other matters.
- 4. Phonic Ear therefore continues to urge that issues relating to 216-217 MHz be removed to a separate docket, where they may be acted upon separately from the issues of maritime communications that are central to the present proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Phonic Ear, Inc. 3880 Cypress Drive Petaluma, CA 95954-7600 Tel.: 707-769-1110

Peter Tannenwald

Mitchell Lazarus

Arent, Fox, Kintner, Plotkin & Kahn 1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5339 (202) 857-6024/6466

Counsel for Phonic Ear, Inc.

July 15, 1993